Ensifentrine: A First-in-class Bifunctional Drug for the Treatment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
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Ensifentrine is an inhaled ‘bifunctional’ dual phosphodiesterase 3/4 inhibitor that exhibits both bronchodilator and anti-inflammatory activities. Preclinical research has shown that ensifentrine can induce significant relaxation of human bronchi in vitro and suggested the possibility of a synergistic interaction between ensifentrine and β2-adrenoceptor agonists and, mainly, muscarinic receptor antagonists. Ensifentrine is the only dual phosphodiesterase 3/4 inhibitor under clinical development for the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). It has been shown to induce bronchodilation, with a peak bronchodilator effect in patients with COPD similar to that seen after salbutamol administration, and anti-inflammatory activity in healthy individuals. Combined with standard bronchodilators (salbutamol, ipratropium or tiotropium), ensifentrine causes additional bronchodilation and, importantly, a significant reduction in hyperinflation in patients with stable moderate to severe COPD. A recent statement on the top-line phase III ENHANCE-2 study reported that ensifentrine induced significant bronchodilation and reduced the risk of moderate-to-severe COPD exacerbations in study participants. Ensifentrine continues to show promise as a novel drug for treating patients with COPD. Nevertheless, it is necessary to understand whether ensifentrine will be able to replace or reduce the use of currently available classes of bronchodilators, and/or whether it will be a valuable add-on therapy to the current standard of care to further optimize bronchodilation. Furthermore, it will be critical to clarify the anti-inflammatory profile of ensifentrine.

There is a genuine interest on the part of researchers and the pharmaceutical industry in developing so-called ‘bifunctional’ drugs – that is, single molecules with two different primary pharmacological actions.1,2 In this context, single molecules that are capable of simultaneously inducing bronchodilation and anti-inflammatory activity are a highly intriguing possibility for treating a variety of obstructive pulmonary diseases as a longer-term alternative to the combination therapies that are currently the gold standard.3 Concurrently, several classes of bifunctional molecules that can induce bronchodilation and anti-inflammatory activity have been investigated experimentally and in early clinical trials.1-3 One approach is to develop drugs that can simultaneously inhibit phosphodiesterase (PDE) 3 and PDE4.4 Drugs concurrently inhibiting PDE3 and PDE4 have been shown to provide additional or synergistic anti-inflammatory and bronchodilator benefits compared with drugs that inhibit either PDE3 or PDE4 alone.5 Furthermore, PDE3/4 inhibitors have been demonstrated to improve mucociliary clearance.6

PDE4 isoenzymes are a family of intracellular enzymes implicated in the pathophysiology of chronic airway disorders as they are expressed in most inflammatory cells, including neutrophils.7 Acute bronchodilator effects are not produced by PDE4 selective inhibitors;8 rather, suppression of PDE4 – the PDE isoenzyme predominantly expressed in airway smooth muscle (ASMs) cells – results in bronchodilation.1 Furthermore, emerging data have suggested that inhibiting this PDE3 may elicit some anti-inflammatory effects,9 although this activity has not been observed by many other groups over the past 20 years.1,5

However, it has been proposed that the simultaneous inhibition of PDE3 and PDE4 may produce an additional benefit to inhibiting either enzyme alone due to the distinct physiological roles and major activity of these two PDE isoenzymes and because, often, both of these enzyme subtypes can be found in the same cell types (e.g. ASM, some inflammatory cells; Figure 1).10 Dual PDE3/4 inhibitors have therefore been developed to provide drugs with simultaneous bronchodilator and anti-inflammatory effects that may offer advantages over drugs inhibiting either enzyme subtype alone.1,10,11 However, the mechanisms that might underlie the apparent synergistic effect of dual PDE3/4 inhibition remain unclear.12 One hypothesis is that these two PDE isoenzymes govern...
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Preclinical studies

Extensive preclinical studies in experimental animals have documented the remarkable ability of ensifentrine to induce ASM relaxation. Its ability to inhibit electrical field stimulation for at least 12 hours and to significantly lessen histamine-induced bronchoconstriction in anaesthetized guinea pigs for a lengthy period (5.5 hours) when administered by inhalation provided evidence of the drug’s potential to induce bronchodilation.13 Ensifentrine has also been shown to produce additional benefits on ASM relaxation when added to another class of bronchodilators, such as a β2-adrenoceptor agonist or a muscarinic receptor antagonist (atropine or glycopyrronium), eliciting a remarkable increase of ensifentrine in this new suspension formulation by nebulizer in animals13 and to inhibit lipopolysaccharide-induced neutrophilia in vivo.17 Ensifentrine also significantly decreased the absolute number (but not the percentage) of neutrophils and the number of total cells, macrophages, eosinophils and lymphocytes recruited in the sputum 6 hours after a challenge of healthy participants with lipopolysaccharide.17

Subsequently, ensifentrine has been developed as a neutral pH phosphate-buffered suspension for inhalation. When this formulation was administered to healthy male volunteers, ensifentrine had a significantly longer terminal half-life (10.2 versus 3.29 hours), a much longer plasma time to peak drug concentration (1.1 versus 0.17 hours) and a significantly longer terminal half-life (10.2 versus 3.29 hours), a much lower maximum plasma concentration (393 versus 1,816 pg/mL) than the prior formulation. A further study reported that the administration of ensifentrine in this new suspension formulation by nebulizer in single and ascending doses (1.5, 3, 6, 12, 24 mg) led to a significant, dose-dependent rise in FEV1 in healthy volunteers and patients with COPD at all dosages examined.20

The bronchodilator efficacy of ensifentrine (6 mg) given in combination with salbutamol (200 μg) or ipratropium bromide (40 μg) has also been assessed in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD (Table 1).21-23 Changes from baseline in peak FEV1 compared with placebo showed that ensifentrine on its own was an effective bronchodilator (223 mL; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 178–269; p<0.001), comparable with both

Figure 1: Effects of concomitant phosphodiesterase 3 and 4 inhibition. The predominant phosphodiesterase is in bold.
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Different cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) pools intracellularly, with the PDE3 isoenzyme mainly found in the particulate cellular fraction and the PDE4 isoenzyme being primarily cytosolic.12 At present, ensifentrine, formerly known as RPL554 [9,10-dimethoxy-2(2,4,6-trimethylphenylamino)-3-(n-carbamoyl-2-aminoethyl)-3,4,6,7-tetrahydro-2H-pyrimido[6,1-a]isoquinolin-4-one],13 is the most advanced dual PDE3/4 inhibitor in development, having just completed a phase III clinical trial (A phase 3 clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ensifentrine in patients with COPD; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04535986) in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).17

The clinical development of ensifentrine in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Ensifentrine was first created as a pH 3.2 solution in citrate/phosphate-buffered saline for nebulization. Early pilot studies investigated this formulation for its safety and effectiveness in patients with asthma or mild to moderate COPD and in healthy volunteers (Table 1). In patients with COPD, ensifentrine (0.018 mg/kg) caused an increase in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) from baseline that peaked at 194 mL (standard error [SE], 45.9; p<0.0001), which was an increase of 17.2% (SE, 5.2), comparable with the effect of salbutamol 200 μg (17.5% [SE, 11.8]). Ensifentrine also significantly decreased the absolute number of neutrophils and the number of total cells, macrophages, eosinophils and lymphocytes recruited in the sputum 6 hours after a challenge of healthy participants with lipopolysaccharide.17

Of even greater relevance are data concerning the effect of ensifentrine on isolated human bronchial smooth muscle. These experiments have shown that ensifentrine not only relaxes human ASM directly but can also inhibit ASM tone, regardless of airway size, including small airways. Furthermore, ensifentrine acts synergistically with a muscarinic receptor antagonist (atropine or glycopyrronium), eliciting a remarkable increase in the duration of action of glycopyrronium. However, interestingly, there was no synergy when ensifentrine was combined with salbutamol, even when combined at isoeffective concentrations – only an additive effect.15

In addition, ensifentrine has been shown to inhibit the activation of several inflammatory cells in vitro to exhibit a strong anti-inflammatory effect in vivo in animals and to inhibit lipopolysaccharide-induced neutrophilia in sputum from human volunteers. It has also been reported that ensifentrine stimulates the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. This membrane protein functions as a channel for the chloride ion involved in mucus hydration and is tightly regulated by the cAMP/protein kinase pathway, a signal pathway likely responsible for the noticeable increase in ciliary beating.14

Changes from baseline in peak FEV1 compared with placebo showed that ensifentrine on its own was an effective bronchodilator (223 mL; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 178–269; p<0.001), comparable with both
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franciosi et al. (2013)17</td>
<td>12 patients with mild to moderate COPD</td>
<td>Open-label, crossover, placebo-controlled and randomized trial</td>
<td>0.018 mg/kg single dose</td>
<td>Significant improvement in FEV1 (194 mL, +17.2% versus baseline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. (2018)21</td>
<td>36 patients with COPD with FEV1 reversibility ≤150 mL after salbutamol 200 µg + ipratropium 40 µg</td>
<td>Six-way, placebo-controlled crossover study</td>
<td>Single doses of ensifentrine (6 mg), salbutamol (200 µg) and ipratropium (40 µg), ensifentrine + salbutamol, ensifentrine + ipratropium and placebo</td>
<td>Peak FEV1 change versus placebo was similar with ensifentrine, ipratropium and salbutamol (223, 199 and 187 mL, respectively). Peak FEV1 was higher with ensifentrine + ipratropium versus ipratropium (+94 mL) and ensifentrine + salbutamol versus salbutamol (+108 mL). Lung volumes and sGaw were greater with ensifentrine combination treatment versus monotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. (2020)22</td>
<td>30 patients with COPD with FEV1 reversibility ≤150 mL after salbutamol 400 µg</td>
<td>Three-way crossover study</td>
<td>Tiotropium (18 µg) + ensifentrine (1.5 or 6 mg) or placebo for 3 days</td>
<td>Greater peak FEV1 with both ensifentrine doses versus placebo. Greater FEV1, increase with ensifentrine 6 mg versus placebo (65 mL). Greater morning trough FEV1 with ensifentrine versus tiotropium alone (51 mL for 1.5 mg and 116 mL for 6 mg). Lung volumes and sGaw greater with ensifentrine combination treatment versus monotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watz et al. (2020)23</td>
<td>405 patients with COPD with post-bronchodilator FEV1 40–80% predicted and FEV1/FVC ratio ≤0.7</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, dose-ranging study</td>
<td>Ensifentrine (0.75, 1.5, 3.0 or 6.0 mg) twice daily at random or placebo for 4 weeks</td>
<td>Rapid rise in FEV1 following the first dose on Day 1, with differences versus placebo of 153, 158, 207 and 173 mL for ensifentrine 0.75, 1.5, 3 and 6 mg, respectively. Improvement in FEV1 persistent throughout the 4 weeks with all tested doses. Improvement versus placebo with all ensifentrine doses: for the three E-RS™: COPD subscales from Week 1 to Week 4 with the greatest ensifentrine effect on the breathlessness subscale. For TDI focal score, all ensifentrine doses superior to placebo at Weeks 2 and 4. Large impact of ensifentrine on the SGRQ-C symptoms domain among the individual SGRQ-C domains at Week 4, with 6 mg outperforming placebo. Ensifentrine safe and well tolerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson et al. (2021)24</td>
<td>416 patients with COPD, 40–80 years old, with a smoking history of ≥10 pack-years, post-salbutamol FEV1 30–70% predicted, FEV1/FVC ratio ≤0.7 and worsening dyspnoea (mMRC ≥2) and lung function after a 2-week run-in treatment period with tiotropium alone</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, five-arm, parallel-group study</td>
<td>Ensifentrine doses from 0.375 to 3 mg twice daily + tiotropium Respimat® (two puffs 2.5 µg once daily) for 4 weeks</td>
<td>Significant dose-dependent increase in peak FEV1 from baseline to Week 4 with all ensifentrine dosages. At Week 4, increase of 87.3 mL in the average FEV1 24-h AUC with ensifentrine 3 mg. Statistically significant improvement of SGRQ-C score with ensifentrine 1.5 and 3 mg after 4 weeks. Patients with severe COPD (post-salbutamol FEV1 30–50%) had similar absolute improvements in lung function as patients with more moderate impairment (post-salbutamol FEV1 51–70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheault et al. (2021)25</td>
<td>79 patients with COPD with post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC ratio ≤0.70, FEV1 ≤30% and ≥30% predicted, and ≥150 mL increase from pre-bronchodilator FEV1 (following salbutamol and ipratropium)</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, three-way crossover study</td>
<td>Ensifentrine (1.5 or 6.0 mg) or placebo added on to tiotropium + olodaterol</td>
<td>Significant improvement over placebo with both doses in peak FEV1 24-h AUC after evening dose on Day 3 (1.5 mg: 130 mL; 6.0 mg: 81 mL) improvement &gt;100 mL in average FEV1 AUC_{24-h} in 44% and 28% of patients with 1.5 and 6.0 mg doses, respectively. Significant reduction in residual volume following Day 3 morning and evening dose of 1.5 mg (-138 mL; -299 mL) and evening dose of 6.0 mg (-142 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickard et al. (2020)26</td>
<td>789 patients with severe, symptomatic COPD</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study</td>
<td>Ensifentrine 3 mg or placebo twice daily for 24 weeks</td>
<td>Significant improvement in FEV1 AUC_{24-h} (94 mL) at Week 12. Throughout the 24-week treatment period, 42% decrease in the risk of moderate to severe COPD exacerbations versus placebo. Ensifentrine well tolerated with similar safety outcomes to placebo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC = area under the curve; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; E-RS™: COPD = Evaluating Respiratory Symptoms in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; mMRC = Modified Medical Research Council Dyspnoea Scale; sGaw = specific airway conductance; SGRQ-C = St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire – COPD Specific; TDI = Transition Dyspnea Index.
salbutamol (187 mL; 95% CI: 142–232; p<0.001) and ipratropium (199 mL; 95% CI: 153–244; p<0.001). In addition, ensifentrine was associated with considerable and clinically significant additive bronchodilation when dosed with either salbutamol (peak FEV1 increased by 108 mL versus salbutamol alone; 95% CI: 63–153; p<0.0001) or ipratropium (94 mL; 95% CI: 49–139; p<0.0001). When given in addition to a single dose of tiotropium bromide (18 μg) for 3 days in patients with COPD, ensifentrine (1.5 or 6 mg) continued to provide quick (within 5 minutes) further bronchodilation, with a rise in peak FEV1 of 104 mL for the 1.5 mg dose and 127 mL for the 6 mg dose compared with tiotropium bromide alone (p=0.002 and p<0.0001, respectively), although the average FEV1Δ1h increase was greater with ensifentrine 6 mg only versus placebo (65 mL; p=0.0009). In addition, morning trough FEV1 rose compared with tiotropium bromide alone (by 51 mL, p=0.09, for 1.5 mg; by 116 mL, p=0.0009, for 6 mg). Ensifentrine significantly decreased residual volume and functional residual capacity more than salbutamol, ipratropium bromide or tiotropium bromide alone.

A phase Ib trial investigating the efficacy of ensifentrine randomized 405 patients with moderate to severe COPD recruited from 45 European locations to receive ensifentrine or placebo, with 375 patients (93%) completing the study (Table 1). Participants were given ensifentrine 0.75, 1.5, 3.0 or 6.0 mg or placebo twice daily for 4 weeks. All four ensifentrine groups experienced a rapid rise in FEV1 following the first dose on Day 1, with differences versus placebo of 153 (95% CI: 75–216), 158 (95% CI: 83–222), 207 (95% CI: 131–270) and 173 (95% CI: 69–210) mL for ensifentrine 0.75, 1.5, 3 and 6 mg, respectively (all p<0.001). Ensifentrine also caused a substantial improvement in FEV1 compared with placebo after the first week. Throughout the 4 weeks of therapy, all tested dosages continued to have a good bronchodilator effect, with differences compared with placebo falling between 139 and 200 mL, respectively. On Day 3, the combination of ensifentrine, tiotropium bromide and olodaterol significantly reduced residual volume with the morning and evening doses of 1.5 mg ensifentrine (-138 mL, p=0.037, and -259 mL, p=0.002, respectively) and with the evening dose of 6.0 mg (-142 mL, p=0.036).

An assessment of the cardiovascular safety of nebulized ensifentrine in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD was undertaken by examining data from the two 4-week phase IIb trials. No appreciable effect of ensifentrine on vital signs or cardiac repolarization was seen. There were also no effects on morphology, rhythm disruptions or other electrocardiographic parameters, possibly because the drug levels in plasma are very low after inhalation.

**Regulatory trials of ensifentrine**

The top-line phase III ENHANCE-2 study, which investigated nebulized ensifentrine for the maintenance treatment of COPD, fulfilled its primary and secondary objectives, according to an announcement from Verona Pharma on 9 August 2022 (www.veronapharma.com/media/verona-pharma-announces-ensifentrine-meets-primary-endpoint). The study results have not yet been published in full or even presented at a congress as an abstract; the information available can be found in the press release from Verona Pharma. This study investigated the effect of ensifentrine in a large number of patients with COPD (n=789), who were randomly assigned to a 3 mg nebulized dosage of ensifentrine or a nebulized placebo twice daily for 24 weeks. However, around 52% of the participants also received background COPD medication with a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) or long-acting β-agonist (LABA). In addition, 15% of all individuals received an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and LAMA or LABA simultaneously. With a placebo-corrected...
effect, ensifentrine resulted in significant bronchodilation; the average FEV1 area under the curve at 0–12 hours post-dose change was 94 mL at Week 12 (p=0.0001; Table 1). Furthermore, throughout the 24-week treatment period, ensifentrine-treated patients showed a 42% decrease in the risk of moderate-to-severe COPD exacerbations compared with placebo-treated participants (p=0.0109). Ensifentrine was well tolerated and had similar safety outcomes to placebo. According to Verona Pharma, additional data from the ENHANCE-2 trial will be presented at upcoming scientific conferences.

Another phase III study (ENHANCE-1), which is randomizing patients with COPD to 3 mg nebulized ensifentrine or nebulized placebo twice daily for 24 or 48 weeks, is on-going and will evaluate the longer-term safety and efficacy of the drug (A phase 3 clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ensifentrine in patients with COPD; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04535986).29

To confirm the anti-inflammatory effect of ensifentrine, a single-centre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover phase II study is randomizing patients with COPD to receive either nebulized ensifentrine (3 mg) or placebo twice daily for two 8-week treatment periods (Effect of ensifentrine on sputum markers of inflammation in COPD; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT05270525).29 This trial is evaluating the effect of ensifentrine on sputum inflammation markers in COPD at Week 8: per cent change from baseline in acetylated glycol-proline-glycine-proline; absolute and per cent change from baseline in neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, macrophages, lymphocytes and total cells; and per cent change from baseline in cytokines, proteases and other markers of inflammation.

**Perspectives**

Ensifentrine continues to show promise as a novel drug for treating patients with COPD, and the ENHANCE-2 study, even with the incomplete information available at the time, confirms the ability of this PDE3/4 inhibitor to induce significant bronchodilation and report an interesting effect on the risk of exacerbation. However, as many patients in that study were on LAMA, LABA and even ICS background treatment, it will be necessary to further analyse the data and to understand how ensifentrine will be positioned, given there are still no formal comparisons between ensifentrine administered as chronic monotherapy with dual bronchodilation and the increasingly gold-standard triple therapy.

Further analysis of various subgroups will be necessary to try to understand whether ensifentrine will be able to replace or reduce therapy with the currently available classes of bronchodilators and/or whether it may be a valuable add-on therapy to the current standard of care to optimize bronchodilation further. In addition, it would be useful to know whether ensifentrine causes bronchodilation that persists beyond 12 hours during regular treatment and whether there is rebound bronchocstriction when it is discontinued.

The results of the clinical studies so far strongly support the possibility of combining ensifentrine with a muscarinic receptor antagonist, as this combination has been reported to induce a substantial further increase in bronchodilation compared with the muscarinic receptor antagonist alone.21,23 In contrast, there is only weak evidence favouring the combination of ensifentrine with a β2-agonist.21

The lack of solid evidence to support the combination of ensifentrine with a β2-agonist has a rational pharmacological explanation. Two separate drugs can only interact synergistically when they operate on different pharmacological pathways.26 Ensifentrine acts as a dual inhibitor of PDE3/4, downstream effectors of the sympathetic signalling pathway triggered by β2-adrenoceptors.26 As a result, it raises cAMP levels. Ensifentrine and LAMAs, in contrast, work on different signal transduction pathways that ultimately combine to produce the ASM bronchorelaxant effect.2,4,9,11

It will be necessary to compare the combination of ensifentrine with a LAMA or a LABA to the well-established LAMA/LABA fixed-dose combinations that are currently on the market to determine whether it is useful and whether it can be considered a potential substitute for dual bronchodilation. Currently, the only available evidence is that many patients derive some benefit when ensifentrine is added to tiotropium bromide/olodaterol.28

The reduction in the risk of exacerbations that emerged in the ENHANCE-2 study is very intriguing. However, even here, it will have to be clarified whether that was the result of the addition of ensifentrine or the expected outcome of established treatment with long-acting bronchodilators and ICS. In addition, it needs to be understood whether adding ensifentrine reduced the exacerbation risk in patients with low blood eosinophils, who are known to respond poorly to corticosteroids.26 If this is the case, it will be essential to clarify the anti-inflammatory profile of ensifentrine. The results of the aforementioned phase II study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT05270525) may be informative in this respect.29 If ensifentrine can reduce inflammation in patients with COPD, this would make this drug unique for its bifunctional properties. Even so, further work will still be needed to establish ensifentrine’s position compared with existing anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, xanthines and the orally active PDE4 inhibitor roflumilast.
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